



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,952	01/28/2002	Tatsuya Zettsu	218436US2SRD	2719
22850	7590	10/21/2004	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			ZHOU, TING	
1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2173	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/055,952	Applicant(s) ZETTSU ET AL.	
	Examiner Ting Zhou	Art Unit 2173	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/28/02, 6/25/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-13, 15-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trower, II et al. U.S. Patent 6,121,981.

Referring to claims 1 and 13, Trower, II et al. teach a moving picture playback method and program comprising forming a first window based on a predetermined software (loading an animation file and determining the frame to play back on the desktop/ shell of the operating system, or the frame's hosting region window) (column 6, lines 40-48 and 58-65), forming a second window as a child window of the first window on the first window (computing and

Art Unit: 2173

displaying a bounding region for the current frame) (column 5, lines 14-17), forming a third window as a child window of the second window (drawing the animation according to the bounding region on the bounding region) (column 5, line 30 and column 11, lines 28-31), setting a shape of each of the frames of the moving picture on the second window (the bounding region sets the shape, or boundary of the animation) (column 5, lines 26-29), and drawing color information of the frames of the moving picture on the third window (drawing the visible content of the current frame of the animation inside the bounding region window) (column 5, line 30). This is further recited in column 2, lines 38-53 and column 3, lines 1-15, and shown in Figures 2 and 4.

Referring to claims 5 and 16, Trower, II et al. teach a moving picture playback apparatus and program comprising an input device configured to input shape information and color information of the moving picture every frame (loading a sequence of animation into memory in order to play the sequence) (column 2, lines 38-43 and column 8, lines 36-65), a window system configured to generate a first window based on a software, a second window corresponding to a child window of the first window and superimposed on the first window and a third window corresponding to a child window of the second window (loading an animation file and determining the frame to play back on the desktop/ shell of the operating system, or the frame's hosting region window; computing a displaying a bounding region window for the current frame on the hosting region window; and drawing the visible content of the current frame of the animation inside the bounding region window) (column 5, lines 14-30, column 6, lines 40-48 and 58-65 and column 11, lines 28-31); a shape setting device configured to set a shape of each of the frames of the moving picture on the second window (the bounding region sets the shape, or

boundary of the animation) (column 5, lines 26-29); a drawing device configured to draw on the third window a picture of the frame having the shape set on the second window (drawing the visible content of the current frame of the animation inside the bounding region window, clipping the current frame to the bounding region) (column 3, lines 9-11 and column 5, line 30); and a controller which controls the shape setting device and the drawing device according to a message from the window system to set the shape of each frame on the second window and draw the color information of the frame on the third window (the loader, sequencer, regionizer and region window controller working together to playback an animation in response to animation services requests received from clients) (column 6, lines 7-31 and Figure 3). This is further recited in column 2, lines 38-53 and column 3, lines 1-15, and shown in Figures 2 and 4.

Referring to claims 3, 8, 15 and 19, Trower, II et al. teach drawing the first window again after setting of the shape of the frame (re-drawing the portion of the desktop host window that is modified by the animation) (column 6, lines 40-48 and column 11, lines 13-26).

Referring to claim 4, Trower, II et al. teach the first window includes characters and/or a still picture (the hosting region window, or the desktop shell, displays characters and still pictures, such as icons) (Figure 2).

Referring to claims 6 and 17, Trower, II et al. teach the controller instructing the shape setting device to set the shape and then instructs the drawing device to draw the color information of the frame according to the message from the window system (in response to client requests of animation services, the loader, sequencer, regionizer and region window controller work together to playback, or draw an animation) (column 6, lines 7-48, column 11, lines 8-20 and Figure 3).

Referring to claims 9 and 20, Trower, II et al. teach the controller calculates, as a coordinate of an upper left corner of the third window, a relativity coordinate relative to a coordinate of an upper left corner of the second window, and uses this relativity coordinate as a reference coordinate for setting the moving picture shape on the second window (when the animation system draws the current frame, the animation is clipped to the bounding region window, which has coordinates relative to the upper left corner of the window) (column 2, lines 44-53, column 7, lines 30-31 and column 10, lines 20-41).

Referring to claim 10, Trower, II et al. teach the input device generates encoded moving picture data including the shape information and color information, and which further includes a moving picture decoder configured to decode the encoded moving picture data and input the shape information and the color information to the shape setting device and the drawing device respectively (the frame's bitmaps read from the input device are compressed, or encoded and the loader is responsible for decompressing, or decoding the bitmaps into its native format) (column 6, lines 32-39 and column 9, lines 12-50).

Referring to claim 11, Trower, II et al. teach the shape setting device sends an instruction to the window system and sets the shape based on the shape information on the second window designated by the controller according to the message from the window system (upon receiving animation services requests from clients, the system sets the shape of the animation, i.e. via clipping the visual content of the frame, to correspond to the bounding region) (column 2, lines 38-53, column 3, lines 1-15 and column 6, lines 7-14).

Referring to claim 12, Trower, II et al. teach a plug-in software of a predetermined software having the first window instructs generating of the third window to the window system

Art Unit: 2173

(using software to paint windows) (column 5, lines 60-67, column 6, lines 11-14 and column 18, lines 50-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2, 7, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trower, II et al. U.S. Patent 6,121,981, as applied to claims 1, 5, 13 and 16 above, and further in view of Foreman et al. U.S. Patent 6,628,303.

Referring to claims 2 and 14, Trower, II et al. teach all of the limitations as applied to claims 1 and 13 above. Specifically, Trower, II et al. teach setting the shape of the animation (the bounding region sets the shape, or boundary of the animation) (Trower, II et al.: column 5, lines 26-29). However, Trower, II et al. fail to explicitly teach setting the shape after pause in the playback. Foreman et al. teach an interface that displays windows for playing back moving pictures (video display window for displaying frames of a video program) (Foreman et al.: column 2, lines 35-61) similar to that of Trower, II et al. In addition, Foreman et al. further teach pausing the playback of the video program (Foreman et al.: column 11, lines 32-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Trower, II et al. and Foreman et al. before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the method for setting

Art Unit: 2173

the shape of the moving picture system of Trower, II et al. to include pausing the playback taught by Foreman et al. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to allow users to have tools to more easily manipulate and edit motion pictures to assist in the creative design, planning and production of motion video programs.

Referring to claims 7 and 18, Trower, II et al. teach all of the limitations as applied to claims 5 and 16 above. Specifically, Trower, II et al. teach instructing the shape setting device to set the shape and then instructing the drawing device to draw the picture of the frame without waiting the message from the window system (once the bitmaps of the current frame has been loaded, the bounding region is computed and the animation drawn to the bounding region) (Trower, II et al.: column 2, lines 38-53 and column 3, lines 1-15). However, Trower, II et al. fail to explicitly teach pausing in the playback. Foreman et al. teach an interface that displays windows for playing back moving pictures (video display window for displaying frames of a video program) (Foreman et al.: column 2, lines 35-61) similar to that of Trower, II et al. In addition, Foreman et al. further teach pausing the playback of the video program (Foreman et al.: column 11, lines 32-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Trower, II et al. and Foreman et al. before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the method for setting the shape of the moving picture system of Trower, II et al. to include pausing the playback taught by Foreman et al. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to allow users to have tools to more easily manipulate and edit motion pictures to assist in the creative design, planning and production of motion video programs.

Art Unit: 2173

3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar methods of playing back a moving picture.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (703) 305-0328 through the month of October, 2004 and (571) 272-4058 thereafter. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (703) 308-3116 through the month of October, 2004 and (571) 272-4048 thereafter. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-8720 through the month of October, 2004 and (571) 273-4058 thereafter.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4 October 2004



CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

